## Course Syllabus

## The Grant Generating Project

**Course Director:** Dr. Gillian Bartlett *(Texas A & M University)*

**Course Faculty:** Kate Trout *(University of Missouri)*

**NAPCRG Support:** Hannah Juarez <hjuarez@napcrg.org> *(NAPCRG)*

## Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, GGP Fellows should be able to develop and describe an integrated research project or program relevant to primary care research. This will include being able to effectively communicate a research agenda in various formats from written to oral for a range of audiences with varying levels of research experience.

By the end of this course, you will be able to:

* Demonstrate increased competency in scientific communications
* Apply techniques and methods to develop a scientific protocol in health research that is appropriate for submission to a funding agency

## Instructional Method

The is blended learning course meaning that portions of the course will be completed online at the Fellow’s convenience and portions will be conducted in-person (either face-to-face or virtually) with the Course Director and the Course Faculty. Fellows are expected to be active participants for the in-person sessions as well as the online discussion forums.

## Course Evaluation

**Evaluation will be based on completion of each online module, participation in the in-person sessions and completion of the milestones.**

## Course Content

## Session I: Online from Sept 17 – Nov 19, 2025

| **Release Date** | **Module Title** | **Module Details** | **Milestones & Due Dates** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ORIENTATION VIRTUAL MEETING –Sept 24, 2025– 12:30 – 2:00 pm EST - ZOOM** | | | |
| Sep 17 | 1 Effective Writing Strategies | how to conceptualize a research problem, overcoming writers block, critical thinking, organization, avoiding jargon | Sep 29:  1-page conceptualization of research |
| Sep 29 | 2 Knowledge Translation / Dissemination | understanding the dissemination phase of evidence production and how this impacts protocol writing | Oct 13:  Identification of end-users, decision about research partners, draft of dissemination plan |
| Oct 13 | 3 Development of Research Question | defence/explanation of research paradigms, building the research question and understanding the  difference between question, objectives, link with hypothesis | Oct 27:  Research objectives and preliminary research questions - MENTOR FEEDBACK REQUIRED |
| **VIRTUAL CLASS – Q & A – October 24, 2024 - 12:30 – 2:00 pm EST – ZOOM** | | | |
| Oct 27 | 4 Conducting Literature Reviews | concept vs problems, identifying gaps, keys to literature reviews, building a search strategy | Nov 10:  Literature review for protocol, finalized research objectives and questions |
| Nov 10 | 5 Writing the Methods Section | identifying methods that answers research question, use of theoretical models and framework, different sections, justifications | Nov 19:  First draft completed of methods section of protocol  **Nov 19 at the latest:**  Draft protocol with background, objectives, research question(s) and methods - MENTOR FEEDBACK REQUIRED |

## Session II: Interactive Session November 21, 20245

## Atlanta, Georgia (for Virtual Participants times are EST)

**9:30 – 10:30 Introductions and Icebreaker**

**10:30 - 11:30** **Peer-Reflective Consultations**

Fellows will break into groups of three. Each triad will engage in a reflective exercise that follows the same 30-minute structure. At the end of the first 30 minutes, the next fellow will become the presenter.

1. A 7-minute presentation will be given by Fellow 1 that briefly states their research objective and then presents what challenges they have faced in developing their protocol. During the presentation, the other Fellows will listen and cannot interrupt the presentation or ask questions of the presenter.
2. After the presentation, Fellow 2 and Fellow 3 will take turns asking questions of Fellow 1 for a total of 10 minutes. The presenter will answer questions as briefly as possible.
3. Fellow 2 and Fellow 3 will then discuss the challenges as they understand it while Fellow 1 listens for 10 minutes. This gives an opportunity for the presenter, Fellow 1, to reflect on the interaction without the pressure of having to respond to ideas at that time. The task for the “consultants”, Fellow 2 and Fellow 3, is to generate ideas, solutions, opinions, options, etcetera. Fellow 2 and 3 should NOT ask questions of Fellow 1. The task for Fellow 1 will be to listen to the reflecting conversation and note ideas that might be worth trying.
4. In a final step, Fellow 1 will reflect for 3 minutes to Fellow 2 and 3 on his/her experience of listening to their conversation and to identify three things that stood out for her/him.

This exercise is repeated until each Fellow in the triad has a chance to be the presenter.

**11:30 – 12:00 – coffee & activity debrief**

**12 - 1 pm – Biobreak/lunch/social activity**

**1:00 – 2:30 pm Peer-Review Activity**

Each Fellow will be assigned a partner who is another Fellow. They will each receive the draft grant protocol of their assigned partner at the beginning of the day – PLEASE BRING A HARD COPY OF YOUR PROTOCOL. In this exercise, they are expected to draft the blank tables of results or table of expected themes (depending on methods) from the draft protocol they are provided with. They will spend 45 minutes each (for a total of 90 minutes) reviewing and asking their partner for any information that they felt was missing or unclear for producing the blank tables/anticipated results. The author of the protocol is not allowed to “present” their grant but only to respond to the questions from their partner. This will highlight logic/information gaps that still exist in protocol and the research objectives/questions.

**2:30 – 3:00 Dragons’ Den aka Sharks’ Tank**

In the afternoon each of the Fellows will “pitch” their grant application idea in a Dragons’ Den format. The Fellow will present a 3-minute “pitch”. This will be followed by one question each from the “Dragons” for maximum of 6 minutes. The “Dragons” will declare if they would have funded the “pitch” or allowed it past the LOI stage (6 minute). At least one “Dragon” will be a course faculty who is an experienced researcher with the Fellows taking turns as “Dragons”. Unlike the famous TV Dragons, they will be a source of constructive feedback. One Fellow will act as a note taker for the Fellow who is pitching their grant idea.

**3:00 – 3:15 – biobreak/coffee**

**3:15 – 4:00 Feedback and Evaluation**

The day will wrap up with an open Q & A session for the Fellows to the Faculty and Course Director. The Fellows will be expected to incorporate the feedback in their protocol and to finalize their concept paper which will be uploaded to the learning platform by **Dec 1 at the latest. Feedback will be provided by Jan 30, 2026.**

## Session III: Online from January 5 – May 15, 2026

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Release Date** | **Module Title** | **Module Details** | **Milestones & Due Dates** |
| Jan 5 | 6 Other Grant Sections | understanding spin, understanding what your study will and will not contribute, having a plan b for negative results etc. | Jan 26:  Limitations and Implications sections |
| Jan 26 | 7 Building a Timeline | how to develop a timeline and calculate resources, calculating time needed | Feb 9:  Timeline attached to protocol objectives and methods with feedback incorporated - MENTOR FEEDBACK REQUIRED |
| Feb 9 | 8 How to Create a Budget | building a budget for Canada and the US granting opportunities | Feb 23:  Budget attached to protocol objectives and methods |
| Feb 23 | 9 Creating a CV | Biosketch and CV’s | Mar 16:  CV/Biosketch  Mar 16:  Description of where the protocol will be submitted with title of grant and abstract/summary |
| **VIRTUAL CLASS – Q & A – March 18, 2026 - 12:30 – 2:00 pm EST – ZOOM** | | | |
| Mar 16 | 10 Adapting to Granting Agency Requirements | modifying the revised protocol to a specify granting agency application with specific modules for AI/ML applicants | **April 3 at the latest:**  Complete grant application with all sections required by the granting agency (i.e. CV, budget, abstract) and description of funding opportunity you are applying for - MENTOR FEEDBACK REQUIRED |
| Apr 6 | 11 Reviewing Grants | Instructions on how to review a grant | **May 2 (in-person):**  Bring review of the grant from one of your peers to the in-person session that you will be assigned Apr 13 |

## Session IV in-person at STFM (New Orleans, Louisianna) May 2, 2026

## (for Virtual Participants times are Central Time)

**12:00 – 12:30 Intros and Instructions**

**1:00 – 2:30 Peer Review Panel / Mock Study Section**

Each Fellow will have an expert reviewer assigned to their grant as well as one of their Fellows. Fellows will be divided into two groups to run a mock peer review / study section. The reviewers will score the grant and provide reviews to be discussed at the meeting. This will be Chaired by the Course Faculty with Fellows taking turn to act as scientific officer and a community member will provide feedback on each grant. The Fellows will be asked to vote on each application and will have access to the grants during the committee discussions. The feedback from the reviewers, the other Fellows and the scientific officer will be provided to each Fellow one week after the in-person session.

**2:30 - 3:00 Group Expert Feedback**

The Fellows will have a discussion with their expert reviewers for a general “Ask the Expert” session. The group dynamic will allow the “reviewer” to address issue that may not have been highlighted in specific proposals.

**3:00 – 3:15 Break**

**3:15 - 4:00 Large Group Discussion**

Wrap up of the day with an open Q & A about protocols, budget items, CV’s etc as well as the Reviewers own experiences with grant reviews. The Fellows will be expected to incorporate the feedback in their protocol which will be uploaded to the learning platform by **June 30, 2026 at the latest** and the certificate of completion will be sent once this milestone is met.

**4:00 – 5:00 Course Assessment**

The Fellows, the Faculty, Instructors and Course Director will complete written surveys and discuss what they appreciated about the course and what needs to be changed or modified for the following year. Achievement of learning objectives will be evaluated.

## Course Administration

To ensure flexibility to address changing circumstances, our in-person sessions will enable people to attend virtually if need be. You will need a mic, camera and reliable Wi-Fi. We strongly encourage Fellows to attend NAPCRG even if it is a virtual conference. If you face travel restrictions in 2025 or 2026, we will allow participants to attend virtually. GGP Fellows who complete the course will have access to that year’s material after the course completion. If a Fellow has not self-selected a mentor, one will be assigned. Mentors should be met with formally at least 6 times during the year. Each GGP Fellow will also be assigned to a Course Faculty. Specific questions can be addressed by making an appointment with that Faculty member that will be done through our virtual meeting software (ZOOM).